



Course: 42528.1232: GEO.105.U001.SPRING23.World Urban Geography
Instructor: Sohrob Aslamy *
Response Rate: 6/22 (27.27%)

1 - My key reasons for taking this course were: (Select all that apply.)					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
Interested in the subject	(1)	3	50.00%		
Required for major, minor, or program	(2)	0	0.00%		
Fulfilled Liberal Arts requirement/distribution (undergraduate only)	(3)	2	33.33%		
Reputation of instructor	(4)	0	0.00%		
Fit into my schedule	(5)	2	33.33%		
Advisor recommended course	(6)	0	0.00%		
Friend(s) recommended course	(7)	0	0.00%		
Other	(8)	1	16.67%		
Response Rate	6/22 (27.27%)				

2 - If you selected 'other' as a key reason, please comment.	
Response Rate	1/22 (4.55%)
• I was desperate and had to for the credits	

3 - For this course, on average, I spent the following time, outside of synchronous or in-person class sessions, on course work:										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
0 hours per week	(1)	0	0.00%							
1-3 hours per week	(2)	1	16.67%							
4-6 hours per week	(3)	3	50.00%							
7-10 hours per week	(4)	2	33.33%							
11-15 hours per week	(5)	0	0.00%							
More than 15 hours per week	(6)	0	0.00%							
Response Rate				6/22 (27.27%)	Mean	3.17	STD	0.75	Median	3.00

4 - I feel that I performed to my potential in this course.										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	33.33%							
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%							
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%							
Somewhat Agree	(4)	2	33.33%							
Agree	(5)	2	33.33%							
Strongly Agree	(6)	0	0.00%							
Response Rate				6/22 (27.27%)	Mean	3.33	STD	1.86	Median	4.00



Course: 42528.1232: GEO.105.U001.SPRING23.World Urban Geography
Instructor: Sohrob Aslamy *
Response Rate: 6/22 (27.27%)

5 - The syllabus was an accurate guide to course requirements.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.83				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Agree	(4)	2	33.33%						
Agree	(5)	3	50.00%						
Strongly Agree	(6)	1	16.67%						
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median			
6/22 (27.27%)				4.83	0.75	5.00			

6 - Student participation and the contribution of ideas, comments, and questions were encouraged.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.83				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Agree	(4)	1	16.67%						
Agree	(5)	5	83.33%						
Strongly Agree	(6)	0	0.00%						
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median			
6/22 (27.27%)				4.83	0.41	5.00			

7 - Course assessments (e.g., exams/quizzes, papers, presentations, projects, performances, etc.) allowed me to demonstrate what I learned.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	16.67%		4.17				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Agree	(4)	2	33.33%						
Agree	(5)	2	33.33%						
Strongly Agree	(6)	1	16.67%						
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median			
6/22 (27.27%)				4.17	1.72	4.50			

8 - I received helpful feedback from the instructor to guide my progress in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.50				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Agree	(4)	3	50.00%						
Agree	(5)	3	50.00%						
Strongly Agree	(6)	0	0.00%						
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median			
6/22 (27.27%)				4.50	0.55	4.50			



Course: 42528.1232: GEO.105.U001.SPRING23.World Urban Geography
Instructor: Sohrob Aslamy *
Response Rate: 6/22 (27.27 %)

9 - The lectures were clear and well-organized.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<p>3.67</p>
Disagree	(2)	1	16.67%	█	
Neutral	(3)	1	16.67%	█	
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%	█	
Strongly agree	(5)	1	16.67%	█	
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
6/22 (27.27%)		3.67		1.03	4.00

10 - The instructor introduced me to new ideas and concepts.					
Sohrob Aslamy					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<p>4.17</p>
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	1	16.67%	█	
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%	█	
Strongly agree	(5)	2	33.33%	█	
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
6/22 (27.27%)		4.17		0.75	4.00

11 - The instructor asked questions that stimulated general discussion.					
Sohrob Aslamy					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<p>4.20</p>
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	1	16.67%	█	
Agree	(4)	2	33.33%	█	
Strongly agree	(5)	2	33.33%	█	
Not Applicable	(0)	1	16.67%	█	
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
6/22 (27.27%)		4.20		0.84	4.00

12 - The instructor gave consideration to the concerns, comments, and questions of students.					
Sohrob Aslamy					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<p>4.00</p>
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	1	16.67%	█	
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%	█	
Strongly agree	(5)	1	16.67%	█	
Not Applicable	(0)	1	16.67%	█	
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
6/22 (27.27%)		4.00		0.71	4.00



Course: 42528.1232: GEO.105.U001.SPRING23.World Urban Geography
Instructor: Sohrob Aslamy *
Response Rate: 6/22 (27.27 %)

13 - The instructor showed enthusiasm for the course material.						
Sohrob Aslamy						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	1	16.67%	█		
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%	█████		
Strongly agree	(5)	1	16.67%	█		
Not Applicable	(0)	1	16.67%	█		
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
6/22 (27.27%)				4.00	0.71	4.00

14 - The course challenged me to think seriously about the subject matter.						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			
Disagree	(2)	1	16.67%	█		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%	█████		
Strongly agree	(5)	1	16.67%	█		
Not Applicable	(0)	1	16.67%	█		
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
6/22 (27.27%)				3.80	1.10	4.00

15 - I gained an understanding of major concepts in geography.						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	16.67%	█		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	2	33.33%	██		
Strongly agree	(5)	2	33.33%	██		
Not Applicable	(0)	1	16.67%	█		
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
6/22 (27.27%)				3.80	1.64	4.00

16 - I became aware of multiple perspectives and debates on issues in geography.						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	16.67%	█		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%	█████		
Strongly agree	(5)	1	16.67%	█		
Not Applicable	(0)	1	16.67%	█		
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
6/22 (27.27%)				3.60	1.52	4.00



Course: 42528.1232: GEO.105.U001.SPRING23.World Urban Geography
Instructor: Sohrob Aslamy *
Response Rate: 6/22 (27.27 %)

17 - I am able to identify, locate, evaluate, use, and share information as it pertains to geography.						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	16.67%		<p>3.60</p>	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%			
Strongly agree	(5)	1	16.67%			
Not Applicable	(0)	1	16.67%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate		Mean		STD		Median
6/22 (27.27%)		3.60		1.52		4.00

18 - I am able to critically read and understand the scholarly literature in geography.						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	16.67%		<p>3.60</p>	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%			
Strongly agree	(5)	1	16.67%			
Not Applicable	(0)	1	16.67%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate		Mean		STD		Median
6/22 (27.27%)		3.60		1.52		4.00

19 - I developed skills in displaying geographic information in a graphic or visual form.						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	16.67%		<p>3.80</p>	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	2	33.33%			
Strongly agree	(5)	2	33.33%			
Not Applicable	(0)	1	16.67%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate		Mean		STD		Median
6/22 (27.27%)		3.80		1.64		4.00

20 - I have a better understanding of the changing relationship between humans and the environment.						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	16.67%		<p>3.60</p>	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%			
Strongly agree	(5)	1	16.67%			
Not Applicable	(0)	1	16.67%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate		Mean		STD		Median
6/22 (27.27%)		3.60		1.52		4.00



Course: 42528.1232: GEO.105.U001.SPRING23.World Urban Geography
Instructor: Sohrob Aslamy *
Response Rate: 6/22 (27.27%)

21 - I have a better understanding of the relationship between people and places over time and across space.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	16.67%		<p>3.60</p>
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%		
Strongly agree	(5)	1	16.67%		
Not Applicable	(0)	1	16.67%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
6/22 (27.27%)		3.60		1.52	4.00

22 - I have a better understanding of basic geographic methods of presenting and using spatial information (e.g. map making and reading, spatial analysis and visualization).					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	16.67%		<p>3.60</p>
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%		
Strongly agree	(5)	1	16.67%		
Not Applicable	(0)	1	16.67%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
6/22 (27.27%)		3.60		1.52	4.00

23 - I have a better understanding of the value of a geographic perspective on the world around us.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	16.67%		<p>3.60</p>
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%		
Strongly agree	(5)	1	16.67%		
Not Applicable	(0)	1	16.67%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
6/22 (27.27%)		3.60		1.52	4.00

24 - I have a better understanding of the natural environment, including patterns and causal processes, and variations over time and across space.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	16.67%		<p>3.40</p>
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Agree	(4)	4	66.67%		
Strongly agree	(5)	0	0.00%		
Not Applicable	(0)	1	16.67%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD	Median
6/22 (27.27%)		3.40		1.34	4.00



Course: 42528.1232: GEO.105.U001.SPRING23.World Urban Geography
Instructor: Sohrob Aslamy *
Response Rate: 6/22 (27.27%)

25 - Overall, I learned a great deal from this course.						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	16.67%		<p>3.33</p>	
Disagree	(2)	1	16.67%			
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%			
Strongly agree	(5)	1	16.67%			
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate		Mean		STD		Median
6/22 (27.27%)		3.33		1.51		4.00

26 - The instructor of this course was:						
Sohrob Aslamy						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	2	33.33%		<p>3.00</p>	
Below average	(2)	0	0.00%			
Average	(3)	1	16.67%			
Above average	(4)	2	33.33%			
Excellent	(5)	1	16.67%			
				0 25 50 100		Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD		Median
6/22 (27.27%)		3.00		1.67		3.50

27 - This course was:						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	2	33.33%		<p>2.67</p>	
Below average	(2)	0	0.00%			
Average	(3)	3	50.00%			
Above average	(4)	0	0.00%			
Excellent	(5)	1	16.67%			
				0 25 50 100		Question
Response Rate		Mean		STD		Median
6/22 (27.27%)		2.67		1.51		3.00

28 - Which of the following best describes you?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Geography Major	(1)	0	0.00%		
Geography Minor	(2)	0	0.00%		
Environment & Society Minor	(3)	0	0.00%		
Environment Sustainability and Policy Major	(4)	0	0.00%		
Undeclared Undergraduate	(5)	0	0.00%		
Other Major	(6)	6	100.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate					
6/22 (27.27%)					

29 - Other Major:	
Response Rate	0/22 (0%)



Course: 42528.1232: GEO.105.U001.SPRING23.World Urban Geography
Instructor: Sohrob Aslamy *
Response Rate: 6/22 (27.27%)

30 - Class Status					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Freshman	(1)	1	16.67%		
Sophomore	(2)	1	16.67%		
Junior	(3)	1	16.67%		
Senior	(4)	3	50.00%		
Graduate student	(5)	0	0.00%		
Non-matriculated student	(6)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	
Response Rate					
6/22 (27.27%)					

31 - Gender					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Male	(1)	4	66.67%		
Female	(2)	1	16.67%		
Transgender	(3)	0	0.00%		
Unsure / other	(4)	0	0.00%		
Prefer not to say	(5)	1	16.67%		
				0 25 50 100	
Response Rate					
6/22 (27.27%)					

32 - Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Yes	(1)	5	83.33%		
No	(2)	1	16.67%		
				0 25 50 100	
Response Rate					
6/22 (27.27%)					

33 - I attended the following percentage of class meetings:					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Over 90%	(5)	6	100.00%		
80-90%	(4)	0	0.00%		
60-79%	(3)	0	0.00%		
50-69%	(2)	0	0.00%		
Less than 50%	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	
Response Rate					
6/22 (27.27%)					

34 - On average, how many hours per week did you spend preparing for this course outside of class time?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Less than 1 hour	(1)	0	0.00%		2.67
1-2 hours	(2)	2	33.33%		
3-4 hours	(3)	4	66.67%		
More than 4 hours	(4)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	
Response Rate			Mean		
6/22 (27.27%)			2.67		
			STD		Median
			0.52		3.00



Course: 42528.1232: GEO.105.U001.SPRING23.World Urban Geography
Instructor: Sohrob Aslamy *
Response Rate: 6/22 (27.27 %)

35 - The work load for this course in relation to other courses of the same level was:					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Much heavier	(1)	1	16.67%	<div style="width: 16.67%;"></div>	
Heavier	(2)	0	0.00%		
About the same	(3)	5	83.33%	<div style="width: 83.33%;"></div>	
Lighter	(4)	0	0.00%		
Much lighter	(5)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	
Response Rate					
6/22 (27.27%)					

36 - The grading standards for this course in relation to other courses of the same level were:					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Much harder	(1)	2	33.33%	<div style="width: 33.33%;"></div>	
Harder	(2)	1	16.67%	<div style="width: 16.67%;"></div>	
About the same	(3)	3	50.00%	<div style="width: 50.00%;"></div>	
Easier	(4)	0	0.00%		
Much easier	(5)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	
Response Rate					
6/22 (27.27%)					

37 - What aspects of this course were most successful? Why?	
Response Rate	3/22 (13.64%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can't really tell there's any successful aspects. • none • The lectures allowed me to learn the most because they were very informational and provided me with different perspectives/understandings of the material. 	

38 - What aspects of this course were least successful? Why?	
Response Rate	3/22 (13.64%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As an intro level course, the instructor has a way too harsh of standard in terms grading and topic engagement. Based on the instructions the instructor gives, I do not quite believe that this course should be a 100-level course. If not, then I assume there must've been something that could've been fixed on the instructor's end. • the structure of it, too much time went into it despite being a 105 course • The map assignment wasn't the best for our overall learning experience 	

39 - Please comment on any issues that you feel are not addressed by this questionnaire.	
Response Rate	1/22 (4.55%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • n/a 	

40 - what part of lectures you like?	
Response Rate	6/22 (27.27%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The paper meeting before writing it. • Probably some topics that interest me. • I liked how the two lectures weren't about the same things, but they still tied into one another so that everything we learned in a class had to do with each other. • The slides, and presentations flowed well with the topics • - • When the class was engaged in the material. 	



Course: 42528.1232: GEO.105.U001.SPRING23.World Urban Geography
Instructor: Sohrob Aslamy *
Response Rate: 6/22 (27.27 %)

41 - What part of the lectures you do not like?

Response Rate	1/22 (4.55%)
----------------------	--------------

- The extensive time of listening to a lot of information being thrown at us.

42 - What do you want the instructor to change in his lectures?

Response Rate	6/22 (27.27%)
----------------------	---------------

- I think it is all good.
- As I've addressed above, if this is a 100-level course, then make it a 100-level course. Not something that makes students struggle as if it's a GEO capstone course. Just lower the standards and grade assignments easier.
- I would suggest factoring in enough time to watch videos put into the slides.
- I enjoyed the lecture and discussion
- -
- I would say, incorporate more geography games to keep the class engaged in learning and understanding the information.